

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,305	10/06/2000	Michael W. Kaiser	FORS-04447	5698
75	590 02/21/2002			
Medlen & Carroll LLP			EXAMINER	
Suite 2200 220 Montgomery Street			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
San Francisco,	<del>-</del>			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant	t(s)		
		09/684,305	KAISER E	ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey Fredmar	1637			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cove	sheet with the correspond	ence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howen  bly within the statutory mines  will apply and will expire  e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be consided SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8)	e of this communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
22)□		——· his action is non-fi				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for fo	rmal matters, prosecution	as to the merits is 13.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲 🗂	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	l.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	d b) disapproved by the	Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office act	on.			
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	ational Stage		
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a prov	visional application).		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the compact of a claim for domest	ovisional application	n has been received.			
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:			
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev	04.04	ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 4		

Art Unit: 1637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 6, 7, 22-26, 46-52, drawn to proteins, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - II. Claims 2-5, 8-21, drawn to nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - III. Claims 27-45, drawn to methods of nucleic acid treatment with Fen nucleases, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions in Group I and in Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the nucleic acids of Group II differ in function, structure and effect from the proteins of Group I, with different chemical properties, different modes of use and different utilities.
- 3. Inventions in Group I and in Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the products of Group I can be used in the nucleic acid treatment method of Group III, in

Art Unit: 1637

purification based assays, in two hybrid systems to identify interacting proteins or in antibody generation methods.

- 4. Inventions in Group II and in Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the nucleic acids are not used in the method of Group III, and differ in mode of operation, in function and in effect from the method of Group III.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I – Pyrococcous Woesi Fen-1 endonuclease

Species II – Methanococcus Jannschii Fen-1 endonuclease

Species III – Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum Fen-1 endonuclease

Species IV – Archaeoglobus fulgidus Fen-1 endonuclease

Species V – Each specific chimeric Fen-1 endonuclease.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 1637

Applicant is further required to elect a single (ONE) nucleic acid for search for the particular species selected.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to David Casimir on February 19, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1637

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 19, 2002